1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 8 AT SEATTLE 9 CASE NO. C19-0640JLR PTP ONECLICK, LLC, 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO 11 **SEAL** v. 12 AVALARA, INC., 13 Defendant. 14 15 Before the court is Defendant Avalara, Inc.'s ("Avalara") June 24, 2020, motion to 16 seal certain documents. (Mot. (Dkt. # 155).) Specifically, Avalara asks the court to 17 maintain the seal on certain portions of Exhibits 19 and 20 to the declaration of Benjamin 18 J. Byer. (See Byer Decl. (Dkt. # 154); Sealed Ex. 19 (Dkt. # 157); Sealed Ex. 20 (Dkt. 19 # 157-1).) The documents Avalara seeks to seal are related to Avalara's motion for 20 attorney's fees and non-taxable costs. (Fees Mot. (Dkt. # 153).) Defendant PTP 21 OneClick, LLC ("PTP") did not respond to Avalara's motion to seal. (See generally Dkt.) However, on July 6, 2020, Avalara filed a notice withdrawing its motion for fees 22

and non-taxable costs (Notice (Dkt. # 160)), and on July 7, 2020, the court granted the parties' stipulated motion to dismiss PTP's appeal to the Federal Circuit Court of Appeals (see 7/7/20 Order (Dkt. # 161); Stip. Mot. (Dkt. # 159)). Based on these subsequent events, the court STRIKES Avalara's motion to seal as MOOT and DESIGNATES the two provisionally sealed documents as WITHDRAWN from the record (Dkt. ## 157, 157-1.) *See* Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 5(g)(6). In its motion, Avalara states that it filed portions of Exhibits 19 and 20 under seal because PTP designated those portions of the Exhibits "confidential" under the parties' stipulated protective order. (Mot. at 1; see also Stip. Protective Order (Dkt. # 49).) Local Rule LCR 5(g)(3)(B) provides that a motion to seal a document must include "a specific statement of the applicable legal standard and the reasons for keeping a document under seal, with evidentiary support from declarations where necessary." Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 5(g)(3)(B). However, where the parties have entered into a stipulated protective order, "a party wishing to file a confidential document it obtained from another party in discovery may file a motion to seal but need not satisfy subpart (3)(B) above." Id., LCR 5(g)(3). "Instead, the party who designated the document confidential must satisfy subpart (3)(B) in its response to the motion to seal or in a stipulated motion." *Id*. Here, the party that must satisfy the standard for sealing set forth in Local Rule LCR 5(g)(3)(B) is PTP. PTP failed to respond to Avalara's motion and, therefore, also failed to satisfy the requirements of Local Rule LCR 5(g)(3)(B). (See generally Dkt.); see also Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 5(g)(3)(B). However, because Avalara withdrew its motion for fees

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

and non-taxable costs, the court considers the provisionally sealed portions of Exhibits 19 and 20 to Mr. Byer's declaration, which were filed in support of Avalara's motion for fees and non-taxable costs, to be withdrawn as well. See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 5(g)(6) ("If a document is withdrawn on this basis, the parties shall not refer to it in any pleadings, motions or other filings, and the court will not consider it."). Accordingly, the court STRIKES Avalara's motion to seal as MOOT (Dkt. # 155) and DESIGNATES the two provisionally sealed documents (Dkt. ## 157, 157-1) as WITHDRAWN from the record. Dated this 13th day of July, 2020. R. Plut JAMES L. ROBART United States District Judge